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Summary

Background The adult mental health consequences of childhood maltreatment are well documented. Maltreatment by peers (ie, bullying) has also been shown to have long-term adverse effects. We aimed to determine whether these effects are just due to being exposed to both maltreatment and bullying or whether bullying has a unique effect.

Methods We used data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children in the UK (ALSPAC) and the Great Smoky Mountains Study in the USA (GSMS) longitudinal studies. In ALSPAC, maltreatment was assessed as physical, emotional, or sexual abuse, or severe maladaptive parenting (or both) between ages 8 weeks and 8-6 years, as reported by the mother in questionnaires, and being bullied was assessed with child reports at 8, 10, and 13 years using the previously validated Bullying and Friendship Interview Schedule. In GSMS, both maltreatment and bullying were repeatedly assessed with annual parent and child interviews between ages 9 and 16 years. To identify the association between maltreatment, being bullied, and mental health problems, binary logistic regression analyses were run. The primary outcome variable was overall mental health problem (any anxiety, depression, or self-harm or suicidality).

Findings 4026 children from the ALSPAC cohort and 1420 children from the GSMS cohort provided information about bullying victimisation, maltreatment, and overall mental health problems. The ALSPAC study started in 1991 and the GSMS cohort enrolled participants from 1993. Compared with children who were not maltreated or bullied, children who were only maltreated were at increased risk for depression in young adulthood in models adjusted for sex and family hardships according to the GSMS cohort (odds ratio [OR] 4-1, 95% CI 1-5–11-7). According to the ALSPAC cohort, those who were only being maltreated were not at increased risk for any mental health problem compared with children who were not maltreated or bullied. By contrast, those who were both maltreated and bullied were at increased risk for overall mental health problems, anxiety, and depression according to both cohorts and self-harm according to the ALSPAC cohort compared with neutral children. Children who were bullied by peers only were more likely than children who were maltreated only to have mental health problems in both cohorts (ALSPAC OR 1-6, 95% CI 1-1–2-2; p<0-005; GSMS 3-8, 1-8–7-9, p<0-0001), with differences in anxiety (GSMS OR 4-9; 95% CI 2-0–12-0), depression (ALSPAC 1-7, 1-1–2-7), and self-harm (ALSPAC 1-7, 1-1–2-6) between the two cohorts.

Interpretation Being bullied by peers in childhood had generally worse long-term adverse effects on young adults’ mental health. These effects were not explained by poly-victimisation. The findings have important implications for public health planning and service development for dealing with peer bullying.
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maltreatment and are often described as bullying or peer victimisation. Bullying is characterised by repetitive aggressive behaviour engaged in by an individual or peer group with more power than the victim. It is a global issue; across 38 countries or regions, one in three children report being bullied. Like maltreatment, being bullied is reported to have adverse effects, including physical or mental health problems such as anxiety, depression, and self-harm or suicidality than those who were neither bullied nor maltreated. Children who were both maltreated and bullied were also at increased risk for mental health problems, but the effects were not higher than those of being bullied alone. By contrast, our results did not show any increased risk of mental health problems for children that were maltreated (but not bullied) in the UK but showed an increased risk of depression according to the US cohort. Being bullied by peers had worse long-term adverse effects on young adults’ mental health than being maltreated by adults.

**Evidence before this study**

We have run a systematic review in PsycINFO and Medline to identify potential literature published before Jan 5, 2015, using the search string “(bulli* or bully* or peer victimisation) and (abuse* or maltreat*) and (depress* or anx* or suic* or self-harm or mental health)”. We identified 172 peer reviewed articles in PsycINFO and 91 in Medline, none of which directly compared maltreatment and bullying.

**Added value of this study**

This is, to our knowledge, the first study to compare the long-term mental health outcomes of child maltreatment (by adults) with being bullied by peers. The results are consistent across the two cohorts (ALSPAC and GSMS) showing that children who were bullied by peers only were more likely to have overall mental health problems, anxiety, depression, and self-harm or suicidality than those who were neither bullied nor maltreated. Children who were both maltreated and bullied were at increased risk for mental health problems, but the effects were not higher than those of being bullied alone. By contrast, our results did not show any increased risk of mental health problems for children that were maltreated (but not bullied) in the UK but showed an increased risk of depression according to the US cohort. Being bullied by peers had worse long-term adverse effects on young adults’ mental health than being maltreated by adults.

**Implications of the available evidence**

Both current results and previous literature show the negative effect of school bullying. The insufficiency of resources for bullying compared with those for family maltreatment requires attention. It is important for schools, health services, and other agencies to coordinate their responses to bullying, and research is needed to assess such interagency policies and processes. Future studies of maltreatment should take into account the effects of peer bullying.
Indian children were recruited with 100% probability. The study website contains more details.

**Predictor variables**

**ALSPAC**

Maltreatment was assessed as physical, emotional, or sexual abuse, or severe maladaptive parenting (or both) between ages 8 weeks and 8-6 years as reported by the mother in questionnaires. Physical and sexual abuse was reported with two items answered by the mother (“he/she was sexually abused” and “he/she was physically hurt by someone”) at ages 1-5, 2-5, 3-5, 4-8, 6-8, and 8-6 years. The mother also reported two further questions (whether the “partner was emotionally cruel to child” and “partner was physically cruel to child”) at ages 8 weeks, 1-5 years, and 2-5 years. Abuse was coded as present if sexual, emotional, or physical abuse were reported at any time point. Severe harsh parenting (hitting, shouting, and hostility) was deemed present if children were exposed to maladaptive parenting at both preschool (from birth to up to 5 years of age) and school (age 5–8 years) years. Hitting was coded as present if it occurred daily or every week during preschool and often or sometimes during the school period. Shouting was coded as present if it occurred daily at preschool and often at school age. Lastly, hostility included “mum feels that whining makes her want to hit child”; “mum often irritated by child”; “mum has battle of wills with child”; and “child gets on mum’s nerves”. Hostility was deemed as present if reported in three or all items. Maltreatment was a binary variable indicating presence versus absence of abuse or severe harsh parenting at any time from infancy to 8-6 years of age.

Being bullied was assessed with child reports at 8, 10, and 13 years using the previously validated Bullying and Friendship Interview Schedule. Frequency of being bullied was rated on a 4-point scale (0=never, 1=seldom, 2=frequently, 3=very frequently) across five types of overt (theft, threats or blackmail, physical violence, nasty names, nasty tricks), and four types of relational bullying (social exclusion, spreading lies or rumours, coercive behaviour, deliberately spoiling games). The range of scores for being bullied was 0–25 for 8 years (mean 3·1, SD 3·5) and 0–21 for 10 years (1·8, 2·6) and 13 years (1·8, 2-7). Children scoring 0 were classifi ed as never bullied, those scoring 1–3 were classifi ed as occasionally bullied, and those who scored 4 or more were classifi ed as frequently bullied. Bullying by peers refers to the child reporting being frequently bullied (scoring 4 or more) at 8, 10, or 13 years of age.

**GSMS**

Both maltreatment and bullying were repeatedly assessed with annual parent and child interviews between ages 9 and 16 years (up to eight assessments). Lifetime occurrence of physical and sexual abuse was assessed at every interview, whereas harsh parental discipline was assessed in the 3 months immediately preceding the interview. Maltreatment was present if child or parent reported that the child had been physically abused (participant victim of intentional physical violence by family member), sexually abused (participant involved in activities for purposes of perpetrator’s sexual gratification), or the target of harsh parental discipline (defined as harsh, restrictive, or physical disciplinary style delivered coldly, or frequently in anger, unaccompanied by a generally nurturing atmosphere). The child and their parent reported on whether the child had been bullied or teased in the 3 months before the interview as part of the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Assessment (CAPA). Being bullied was counted if reported by either the parent or the child at any assessment.

**Assessment of outcome variables**

We derived ICD-10 diagnoses of anxiety and depression at age 18 years from a reliable and validated self-administered computerised version of the Clinical Interview Schedule (CIS-R). The CIS-R enables diagnoses according to the ICD-10 for common mental disorders. Computer algorithms are used to identify common mental disorders according to ICD-10 diagnostic criteria. Anxiety was a
Table 2: Mental health outcomes of maltreatment and being bullied by peers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall mental health problem</th>
<th>Anxiety</th>
<th>Depression</th>
<th>Self-harm and suicidality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)*</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>n (%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltreatment, being bullied, or both vs none (not maltreated nor being bullied)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALSPAC (n=4026)</td>
<td>(n=4026)</td>
<td>(n=4026)</td>
<td>(n=4026)</td>
<td>(n=4026)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (n=2205)</td>
<td>339 (15%)</td>
<td>[reference]</td>
<td>(n=2205)</td>
<td>175 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltreatment only (n=341)</td>
<td>59 (17%)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9-1.6)</td>
<td>436 (13%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being bullied only (n=1197)</td>
<td>296 (25%)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.5-2.2)</td>
<td>339 (15%)</td>
<td>[reference]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both (n=283)</td>
<td>81 (29%)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.7-2.9)</td>
<td>38 (13%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMS (n=1273)</td>
<td>(n=1273)</td>
<td>(n=1273)</td>
<td>(n=1273)</td>
<td>(n=1273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (n=682)</td>
<td>74 (11%)</td>
<td>[reference]</td>
<td>46 (6%)</td>
<td>[reference]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltreatment only (n=207)</td>
<td>50 (17%)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.8-1.3)</td>
<td>24 (8%)</td>
<td>[reference]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Being bullied only (n=225)</td>
<td>41 (16%)</td>
<td>4.7 (2.6-8.7)</td>
<td>34 (25%)</td>
<td>5.0 (2.4-10.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both (n=159)</td>
<td>43 (30%)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.7-7.1)</td>
<td>31 (26%)</td>
<td>5.1 (2.3-11.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Maltreatment vs being bullied | | | |
| ALSPAC (n=1538)           | (n=1538) | (n=1538) | (n=1538) | (n=1538) | (n=1538) | (n=1538) | (n=1538) | (n=1538) |
| Maltreatment only (n=341) | 59 (17%) | [reference] | 33 (10%) | [reference] | 25 (7%) | [reference] | 24 (7%) | [reference] | |
| Being bullied only (n=1197) | 296 (25%) | 1.6 (1.2-2.1) | 156 (13%) | 1.4 (0.9-2.1) | 0.097 | 135 (11%) | 1.6 (1.0-2.5) | 0.037 | 143 (12%) | 1.8 (1.1-2.8) | 0.011 |
| GSMS (n=432)             | (n=432) | (n=432) | (n=432) | (n=432) | (n=432) | (n=432) | (n=432) | (n=432) |
| None (n=225)             | 50 (17%) | [reference] | 24 (8.3) | [reference] | 22 (9.5) | [reference] | 15 (8.5) | [reference] | |
| Maltreatment only (n=207) | 41 (26%) | 2.9 (1.4-6.0) | 34 (25.5) | 3.8 (1.6-9.3) | 0.003 | 19 (11.3) | 1.2 (0.4-3.5) | 0.71 | 14 (12.0) | 1.6 (0.5-5.0) | 0.42 |

OR=odds ratio. ALSPAC=Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. GSMS=Great Smoky Mountains Study. Being bullied only refers to being bullied by peers in at least one timepoint. Overall mental health problem refers to having anxiety, depression, or self-harm or suicidality. For GSMS: percentages are weighted; sample sizes are unweighted. *Refers to the number of children who have the associated mental health problem.

**Potential confounders for ALSPAC and GSMS**
Findings from a meta-analysis showed that family conflict, parent's level of stress, and parental mental health problems increased the risk of child abuse and being bullied. Hence, we controlled for sex of child, family hardships, and maternal mental health (appendix). For the ALSPAC cohort, the confounders were assessed during pregnancy. For the GSMS cohort, all confounders were assessed with annual parent and child interviews between ages 9 and 16 years. The appendix shows the association between all the variables included in the analyses.

**Statistical analysis**
To identify the association between maltreatment, being bullied, and mental health problems, we ran binary logistic regression analyses and calculated odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. The primary outcome variable was overall mental health problem (anxiety, depression, or other highly structured interviews (κ for individual programs, written in SAS 9.2, combined information about the date of onset, duration, and intensity of each symptom to create diagnoses according to the DSM-IV. 2-week test-retest reliability of the YAPA is similar to that of other highly structured interviews (κ for individual
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In the ALSPAC cohort, 341 (8%) of 4026 children were exposed to only maltreatment, 1197 (30%) were exposed to maltreatment and bullying, and 113 (5%) as having depression, and 64 (7%) as having reported self-harm in the past year.

Results

In the ALSPAC cohort, 5217 participants attended the 18 year assessment and 4566 completed the mental health assessment. The current study included 4026 cohort participants (of whom 2239 were girls, 56%) who continued with the study at age 18 years and for whom data were available on early reports of maltreatment and bullying. Differences between current sample (n=4026) and the members from the ALSPAC cohort who were not included in the analyses can be found in the appendix.

In the GSMS cohort, of all 1777 participants recruited, 420 (20%) agreed to participate. The weighted sample was 630 (49%) female. American Indian children were recruited with 100% probability; 350 (81%) of 431 recruited individuals agreed to participate. Of the 1420 participants recruited, 1273 (90%) were re-interviewed in young adulthood at ages 19, 21, or 24–26 years.

In the ALSPAC cohort, 775 (19%) of 4026 young adults were exposed to only maltreatment, 1197 (30%) were exposed to maltreatment and bullying, and 113 (5%) as having depression, and 64 (7%) as having reported self-harm in the past year.

Role of the funding source

The funders of this study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. STL and DW had full access to the ALSPAC data and WEC had full access to the GSMS data. All authors made the decision to submit for publication.

Role of the funding source

The funders of this study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. STL and DW had full access to the ALSPAC data and WEC had full access to the GSMS data. All authors made the decision to submit for publication.
maltreatment and bullying. Maltreated children were more likely to be bullied than children who were not exposed to maltreatment, ($\chi^2 [1, n=4026]=23.5, p<0.0001$). In the GSMS cohort, 207 (15%) of 1273 children were exposed to only maltreatment, 225 (16%) to only bullying, and 159 (10%) to both maltreatment and bullying. Similarly, maltreated children were more often bullied than those not maltreated ($\chi^2 [1, n=1420]=67.2, p<0.0001$).

Prospective associations between maltreatment by adults, being bullied, and mental health problems are presented in table 2 and adjusted results are presented in table 3. Compared with children who did not experience maltreatment or bullying, children who experienced maltreatment only were not more likely to have any mental health problems according to ALSPAC, and had more often depression according to the GSMS cohort. Children who were bullied by peers only were significantly more likely to have all mental health problems than were neutral children (those who did not experience maltreatment or bullying). Those who were both maltreated and bullied were more likely to have overall mental health problems, anxiety, and depression according to both cohorts and to have also reported self-harm or suicidality according to the ALSPAC cohort than were neutral children (table 2). After adjusting for potential confounders (table 3), being bullied only was a higher risk for overall mental health problem than was being maltreated only in both cohorts (OR 1.6 [95% CI 1.1–2.2] for ALSPAC; 3.8 [1.8–7.9] for GSMS).

Specifically, children who were bullied were more likely to have anxiety (4.9 [2.0–12.0] for GSMS), depression (1.7 [1.1–2.7] for ALSPAC) and self-harm (1.7 [1.1–2.6] for ALSPAC) as adults than children who were maltreated by adults.

Discussion

Our findings showed that children who were exposed to bullying, whether previously maltreated or not, were more likely to have mental health problems in adulthood than those not exposed to either bullying or maltreatment. However, across both cohorts about 40% of children who were ever maltreated were also bullied. Experience of other forms of victimisation might create susceptibility for being bullied. According to the developmental victimology framework, many kinds of victimisation have common risk factors, such as family instability, insufficient supervision, and personal characteristics (ie, poor social interaction skills). Moreover, maltreatment by adults might interfere with children’s emotional regulation, which might make them susceptible to being bullied. However, although children who were both maltreated and bullied displayed high levels of mental health problems, the effects were not higher than those of being bullied alone. This suggests that the effects of maltreatment on young adult mental health may be at least partly due to being bullied. Indeed, a recent study showed that the relationship between maltreatment and depression was mediated by overt and relational peer victimisation. Hence, bullying can be viewed as both a consequence of prior experiences, and also a cause or risk factor for subsequent mental health problems. Contrary to previous reports, our results showed that overall mental health problems are not due to maltreatment per se but present when children were also bullied. A reason for the lack of association may be that bullying takes place closer (up to age 13 years) to the onset of mental health problems assessed at 18 years compared to maltreatment (up to age 8 years) in the ALSPAC cohort. However, maltreatment and bullying were assessed at the same ages in the GSMS and maltreatment alone only increased the risk of depression. A further reason can be that the overall maltreatment variable might hide significant associations of specific abuse types with mental health. Indeed, when abuse types (physical, emotional, sexual, and severe harsh parenting) were analysed separately, sexual and emotional abuse were associated with mental health problems in adulthood (appendix). By contrast, physical abuse and harsh parenting had weak or no association with adult mental health.

It is important to note the strengths and methodological limitations of the study. The strengths of the study include the use of two prospective cohort studies based in the UK and USA with diverse populations in different social settings, allowing replication of findings; the use of multiple informants; the large sample sizes; and the availability of information regarding family hardships. This study has several limitations. First, parents might under-report maltreatment. Therefore, the ALSPAC cohort was tracked over an 8 year period for investigation and placement of participants on the official child protection register. Parents who were investigated or registered for child abuse reported significantly more
physical or emotional cruelty. However, only 3.9% of those reporting emotional cruelty and 6–6% of those reporting physical cruelty came to the notice of child protection agencies. It is generally recognised that reports of victimisation coming to the attention of professional agencies might even more severely underestimate the true rate of maltreatment. The results were similar in the GSMS cohort in which maltreatment was reported by both parent and child, and both cohorts had similar frequencies of maltreatment compared with previous studies of the same age groups. Second, the effects of maltreatment might be dependent on third variables such as exposures to toxins intra-uterine or frequent changes of caretakers and residual confounding cannot be excluded. Third, the effect of severity of maltreatment and age of onset were not investigated in this study. Future research should consider severity, chronicity, types, and onset of maltreatment. Fourth, in the ALSPAC cohort, not all children completed the mental health assessments at age 18 years. Those with higher family adversity and mothers with prenatal mental health problems were more likely to have dropped out (appendix). However, current study participants did not differ from those lost to follow-up on maltreatment or bullying experience. Empirical simulations show that even when dropout is correlated with predictor or confounder variables, the relation between predictors and outcome is unlikely to be substantially altered by selective dropout. Similarly, not all participants were interviewed at every assessment in the GSMS cohort, but the response rate remained high (>80%), and there was no evidence of selective dropout. Fifth, the GSMS is representative of children from the area sampled, but not of children in the US population. Finally, none of the cohorts took cyber-bullying into account, but previous studies have shown a vast overlap between cyberbullying and traditional bullying.

Our findings suggest that being bullied has similar and in some cases worse long-term adverse effects on young adults’ mental health than being maltreated. The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child established that government is the main body responsible for prevention of and response to violence against children. All signatory nations are required to establish integrated child protection services, which allow early detection and enhance coordination between legal, medical, and service responses. Governmental efforts have focused almost exclusively on public policy to address family maltreatment; much less attention and resources have been paid to bullying. Since bullying is frequent and found in all social groups, and current evidence supports that bullied children have similar or worse long-term mental health outcomes than maltreatment, this imbalance requires attention. It is important for schools, health services, and other agencies to coordinate their responses to bullying, and research is needed to assess such interagency policies and processes. Future studies of maltreatment should take into account the effects of peer bullying.

Contributors
STL did the analyses in ALSPAC data, drafted the initial report. WEC did the analyses in GSMS data and reviewed and revised the report. EJC reviewed and revised the report. DW conceptualised the study and reviewed and revised the report. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.

Declaration of interests
We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments
We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists, and nurses. We thank Peter Sidebotham for critical review and feedback of an early version of the manuscript. The UK Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 102215/2/11/2) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. STL’s and DW’s work on this study was supported by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) grant ES/K003593/1. WEC’s work was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health (MH69370, MH166367i, MH48085), the National Institute on Drug Abuse (DA/MH11101), NARSAD (Early Career Award), and the William T Grant Foundation. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and it does not reflect the views of the ALSPAC executive.

References


